



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/770,349	09/770,349 01/26/2001		Emmanuel Custodero	33924PCTUSAA;070337.0234	6268
21003	7590	12/22/2003		EXAMINER	
BAKER &			HARLAN, ROBERT D		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
NEW TOR	12, 111 1	V112		1713	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	09/770,349	CUSTODERO ET	ΓAL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Robert D. Harlan	1713						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, for If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, ion. a reply within the statutory minimum eriod will apply and will expire SIX (to statute, cause the application to become	may a reply be timely filed n of thirty (30) days will be considered time 6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	14 October 2003.							
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐	This action is non-final.							
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims		·						
4) Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application	ation.	•						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	hdrawn from consideratio	n.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.	∂)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-26</u> is/are rejected.							
7)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.)☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	and/or election requiremen	nt.						
Application Papers								
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exa	miner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of:	•							
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
application from the International B	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application)								
since a specific reference was included in the 37 CFR 1.78.	• •	. ,,,						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.								
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor reference was included in the first sentence								
Attachment(s)		•						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-946 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No.		ce of Informal Patent Application (PT er:	O-152)					
	·							

Art Unit: 1713

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 2. The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 1713

Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being 3. anticipated by Zimmer et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,090,880 (hereinafter "Zimmer"). Zimmer teaches a rubber composition comprising a diene-based elastomer, reinforcing filler, and coupling agent. See Zimmer, col. 1, line 49 through col. 8, line 8. The reinforcing fillers of Zimmer have BET surface area of 135.7, particle size diameter of 30-40, silicon content of See Zimmer, Table 10. Although Zimmer does not disclose all the characteristics and properties of the rubber compositions disclosed in the present claims, based on the substantially identical composition and some of the characteristics, the Examiner has a reasonable basis to believe that the properties claimed in the present invention is inherent in the rubber compositions disclosed by Zimmer. Because the PTO has no means to conduct analytical experiments, the burden of proof is shifted to the Applicants to prove that the properties are not inherent. See In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594 (CCPA 1980); In re Best, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977); In re Napier, 55 F.3d 610, 613, 34 USPQ2d 1782, 1784 (Fed. Cir. 1995).

Art Unit: 1713

The claiming of a new use, new function or unknown property which is inherently present in the prior art doe not necessary make the claim patentable. In re Best, 562 F.2d 1252, 1254, 195 USPQ 430, 433 (CCPA 1977). However, the fact that a certain result or characteristic may occur or be present in the prior art is not sufficient to establish the inherency of that result or characteristic. See In re Rijckaert, 9 F.3d. 1531, 1534, 28 USPQ2d 1955, 1957 (Fed. Cir. 1993) (reversed rejection because inherency was based on what would result due to optimization of conditions, not what was necessary due to optimization of conditions, not what was necessarily present in the prior art). "To establish inherency, the extrinsic evidence must make clear that the missing descriptive matter is necessarily present in the thing describe in the reference, and that it would be so recognized by persons of ordinary skill. Inherency, however, may not be established by probabilities or possibilities. mere fact that a certain thing may result from a given set of circumstances is not sufficient.'" See In re Robertson, 169 F.3d 743, 745, 49 USPQ2d 1949, 1950-51. "In relying upon the theory of inherency, the examiner must provide a basis in fact and/or technical reasoning to reasonably support the determination that the allegedly inherent characteristic

Art Unit: 1713

necessarily flows from the teachings of the applied prior art."

Ex parte Levy, 17 USPQ2d 1461, 1464 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter.

1990).

5. Even if the disclosure of Zimmer does not satisfy the requirements of 35 USC 102(e), it still would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the claimed rubber composition, because it appears that the claimed compositions are within the generic disclosure of Zimmer and a person of ordinary skill in the art would have expected all embodiment of Zimmer to have similar properties. Applicant has not demonstrated that the differences, if any, between the claimed ethylene homopolymers and the ethylene homopolymers disclosed by Zimmer give rise to unexpected results. The evidence presented to rebut the prima facie case of obviousness must be commensurate in scope with the claims to which it pertains. See In re Dill and Scales, 202 USPQ 805 (CCPA 1979).

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D.

Art Unit: 1713

Page 6

Harlan whose telephone number is (703) 306-5926. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM - 8 PM.

- 7. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (703) 308-2450. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9559 for regular communications and (703) 872-9559 for After Final communications.
- 8. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1495.

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713

rdh

December 11, 2003